UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,476	08/07/2006	Robert L. Crook	VOI0449.US	4629
41863 TAYLOR & AU	7590 03/30/200 UST, P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 560	,	CAMERON, ERMA C		
142. S Main Str Avilla, IN 4671			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/550,4	76	CROOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		/Erma Ca	ameron/	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply very reply received by the Office later than three months af ead patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and v vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MON plication to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 04 February 20	200					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 February 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
· ·		ting in the application	nn .					
• —	Claim(s) <u>12-30 and 34-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'=	Claim(s) <u>12-30 and 34-44</u> is/are reject	cted.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminor						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		.\□ objected to	by the Evaminer				
10)	Applicant may not request that any object	•	-	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		-		ED 1 121/d)			
11)	, -	•	_	•	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<u> </u>	_							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: A □ Continue and the animal term	d						
	1. Certified copies of the priority of			Amuliantian Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of				1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Information Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/2009</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

1. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 12-26 and 34-41 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutt (4847116).

'116 teaches making a papermakers felt by applying a foam or froth dispersion of polymeric particles that have a diameter of 150 to 500 microns and a binder such as a polyamide to a conventional needled batt-on-base felt (2:3-21; 2:49-68; 3:8-4:19). After application, the coated felt is heated and the polymeric resin particles soften and fuse to each other and to the felt. The polyamide binder would also have the property of an anti-settling agent. '116 teaches that the viscosity of the composition that is applied must be controlled.

'116 does not teach the wt% of the various components of the applied composition, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized the composition depending on the properties that are desired.

4. Claims 27-30 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutt (4847116) taken in view of Eklund et al (5298124).

'116 is applied here for the reasons given above.

'116 fails to teach a wetting agent or calendering the felt.

Art Unit: 1792

'124 teaches that a surfactant is a conventional addition to a particle-filled composition applied to a papermachine belt (14:40-55), and that the belt is put thru a press nip (i.e. calendred) (15:59-17:2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the conventional surfactant and calendering process of '124 to the '116 process, because of the teaching of '124 that these are conventional in making a belt for a papermachine.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued that the foam or froth of Dutt'116 is not a dispersion. The examiner disagrees. '116 states that the foam is homogeneous with respect to the internal distribution of resin particles and is the means of distribution of the resin particles (2:49-63). This is a dispersion. The applicant has also argued that '116 applies resin particles onto a base but not onto a fiber batt. The examiner disagrees with this assessment. The base used by '116 may be the same as the base of Dutt'359, which may have fibers on top of the base(4:15-24). The applicant has argued that their fibers do not melt and fuse to one another unlike Dutt'359. However, Dutt'116 does not teach that the fibers fuse together, nor is this negative limitation in the claims. Regarding the weight limitation of claim 16, it is the examiner's position that the weight range to be employed could be optimized without undue experimentation.

Conclusion

6. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/550476. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier

Art Unit: 1792

application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

March 26, 2009